PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Craig Andrese
DOCKET NO.: 03-27553.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-19-304-021-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Craig Andrese, the appellant, by attorney Rusty A. Payton of the Law Offices of Rusty A. Payton, P.C., Chicago, Illinois; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of an 34-year old, one and one-half story dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,444 square feet of living area with a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a two and one-half car detached garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis detailing four suggested comparable properties. The appellant's map indicates the comparables are located but not the subject. The permanent parcel index numbers indicate the comparables are located within one-half mile of the subject. The comparables are one story frame and masonry or masonry dwellings that range in age from 32to 48 years with central air conditioning. One comparable has an unfinished basement, two comparables have partial basements, and one comparable has no basement. Three comparables have Their living areas are from 2,589 to 3,815 square fireplaces. feet in size, and have improvement assessments of \$7.32 to \$9.34 per square foot. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$12.00 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. In

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$11,205 IMPR.: \$41,339 TOTAL: \$52,544

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/CKG

support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered the property characteristic sheets and a spreadsheet detailing three suggested comparable properties located on the same block as the subject. The comparable properties consist of one-story masonry dwellings that range in age from 30 to 36 years with central air conditioning and two car garages. Two comparables have full, finished basements and one comparable has a full unfinished basement. Two comparables have two fireplaces and one comparable has one fireplace. The dwellings contain 2,906 to 2,979 square feet and have improvement assessments ranging from \$12.39 to \$13.90 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the review requested confirmation of the board of subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. All of the appellant's comparables differed from the subject in design. The appellant's map did not show the location of the subject, so the comparables' distance from the subject could not be determined. Comparable one and three were significantly smaller than the subject. The board of review's comparables also differed from the subject in design. comparables were also substantially smaller than the subject. As a result, none of the comparables were truly similar to the in physical characteristics to provide clear convincing evidence that the property was inequitably assessed. However, the Board notes that all comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$7.32 to \$13.90 per square foot. The subject's \$12.00 per square foot improvement assessment is within that range and appears to be supported after considering differences in physical and location attributes.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2007

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.